UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,183	09/08/2003	Larry Atlas	18276.002	8418
²⁸³⁸¹ ARNOLD & PO	7590 01/11/2008 ORTER LLP	3	EXAMINER	
ATTN: IP DOCKETING DEPT.			TRAN, MYLINH T	
555 TWELFTH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20004-1206			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2179	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

•		$m \cup$				
·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/656,183	ATLAS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mylinh Tran	2179				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Sectors</u>	eptember 2003.					
,	, 					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 September 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/26/04.	5) Notice of Informal f 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number:

10/656,183 Art Unit: 2179

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 1-25 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-26 of copending Application No. 10/269,045. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both present the steps of retrieving from a repository a first non-BME scene and a second non-BME scene, the repository including a browseable narrative that includes said first non-BME scene and said second non-BME scene and

10/656,183 Art Unit: 2179

executing a first and a second display instructions wherein at least a portion of said first and second non-BME scene are displayed.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-26 are objected to because of the following informalities:

The meaning of "BME" should be defined in the claims. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gonzalez [US.2007/0005795].

As to claims 1, 16 and 24-25, Gonzalez teaches a computer system implemented method and corresponding system for displaying a narrative on a client device comprising the steps/means: retrieving from a repository a first collection of non-BME scenes and a second collection of non-BME scenes

10/656,183 Art Unit: 2179

(0329), the repository including a browseable narrative that includes said first collection and said second collection (0190); executing a first display instruction wherein at least a portion of said first collection is displayed (0190-0194); executing a link; and executing a second display instruction wherein at least a portion of said second collection is displayed (0329).

As to claims 2, 10 and 17, Gonzalez teaches at least one of said first collection and said second collection of non-BME scenes including a dynamic non-BME scene (0032).

As to claims 3 and 18, Gonzalez teaches displaying a third collection of non-BME scenes upon the occurrence of a condition specified in said second collection (0464).

As to claims 4, 11 and 19, Gonzalez teaches the repository including a plurality of links, and executing a link includes executing a link from the repository (0194-2000).

As to claims 5, 12 and 20, Gonzalez teaches executing a link including formulating a link (0054-0056).

As to claims 6, 13 and 21, Gonzalez also teaches executing a link including receiving a user instruction to execute a link (0054).

As to claims 7, 14 and 22, Gonzalez teaches executing a link including determining whether a link condition has occurred (0329-0331).

As to claims 8, 15 and 23, Gonzalez teaches executing a link including receiving user inputs and selecting or formulating links based on the received

Page 5

Application/Control Number:

10/656,183 Art Unit: 2179

inputs (0054-0056).

As to claim 9, the claim is analyzed as previously discuss with respect to claims 1 and 8.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mylinh Tran. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thu from 7:00AM to 3:00PM at 571-272-4141.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo, can be reached at 571-272-4847.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

571-273-8300

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mylinh Tran

WEILUN LO BVISORY PATENT EXAMINER